

# Boryl-Mediated Reversible H<sub>2</sub> Activation at Cobalt: Catalytic Hydrogenation, Dehydrogenation, and Transfer Hydrogenation

Tzu-Pin Lin and Jonas C. Peters\*

Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, United States

### **Supporting Information**

**ABSTRACT:** We describe the synthesis of a cobalt(I)– $N_2$  complex (2) supported by a meridional bis-phosphinoboryl (PBP) ligand. Complex 2 undergoes a clean reaction with 2 equiv of dihydrogen to afford a dihydridoboratocobalt dihydride (3). The ability of boron to switch between a boryl and a dihydridoborate conformation makes possible the reversible conversion of 2 and 3. Complex 3 reacts with HMe<sub>2</sub>N–BH<sub>3</sub> to give a hydridoborane cobalt tetrahydridoborate complex. We explore this boryl–cobalt system in the context of catalytic olefin hydrogenation as well as amine–borane dehydrogenation/ transfer hydrogenation.

C omplexes bearing boron-centered ligands, namely boranes, boryls, and borylenes, have attracted considerable interest.<sup>1</sup> Among the versatile reactivity displayed by these complexes,<sup>1a</sup> the reaction of boron-metal linkages with hydrogen offers a promising approach for catalysis (Chart 1).<sup>2</sup> Examples include borane complexes which split  $H_2$ 

Chart 1. Reversible H<sub>2</sub> Activation Associated with Borane, Borylene, and Boryl Linkages with Metal



heterolytically (type I)<sup>2,3</sup> and the insertion of H<sub>2</sub> into a borylene-metal bond (type II).<sup>4</sup> The addition of H<sub>2</sub> can, in rare cases, be reversible.<sup>2b,c,3,4</sup> These unusual reactions can be rationalized by (1) the formation of  $\sigma$ -borate/borane complexes upon hydrogenation and (2) the restoration of B–M bonds upon dehydrogenation.

Boryl complexes are often invoked as key intermediates in many important catalytic borylation processes,<sup>5</sup> and their reactivity patterns have been extensively studied.<sup>6</sup> Despite these advances, reaction of  $H_2$  with boryl–metal linkages remains very uncommon, having been previously demonstrated irreversibly under forcing conditions.<sup>7</sup> Herein, we report facile reversible addition of 2 equiv of  $H_2$  across a Co–boryl bond

(type III) at room temperature. We further demonstrate that such Co-boryl species can be exploited in the context of rapid olefin hydrogenation and amine—borane dehydrocoupling/ transfer hydrogenation under comparatively mild conditions. Co—boryls hence offer a promising strategy for mediating catalytic two-electron processes better known for the noble metals.<sup>8</sup>

To support the Co–boryl bond, we investigated Nozaki's bisphosphino-hydridoborane ligand  $1^9$  and its complexation with cobalt. Reaction of 1 with CoBr<sub>2</sub> and sodium amalgam in THF under N<sub>2</sub> afforded 2 in modest yield (23%), isolated as a green solid (Scheme 1). Complex 2 is diamagnetic and features a <sup>11</sup>B



resonance at 46.6 ppm, consistent with a metalated threecoordinate boron center.<sup>6</sup> A broad resonance centered at 110.1 ppm is observed in the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of **2** (see Supporting Information (SI)). Additional characterization of **2** was obtained via IR spectroscopy and crystallographic analysis (Figure 1), confirming a terminal N<sub>2</sub> ligand ( $\nu_{\rm N-N}$  = 2013 cm<sup>-1</sup>, Co–N3 1.7745(13) Å, N3–N4 1.119(2) Å). The



Figure 1. Solid-state structures of 2 (left) and 3 (right). Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å): Co-B 1.9463(13) (2), Co-B 1.908 (3, av.).

Received: August 13, 2013 Published: September 30, 2013 Co center in 2 adopts a pseudo-square-planar geometry as indicated by the P1–Co–P2  $(156.260(14)^{\circ})$  and B–Co–N3  $(178.62(6)^{\circ})$  angles, reminiscent of a previously structurally characterized  $({}^{iPr}PNP)Co(I)-N_2$  complex (PNP = bisphosphino-amido).<sup>10</sup> The B–Co bond distance in 2 (1.9463(13) Å) is comparable to that measured for (PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>CoBcat (1.949(2) Å).<sup>11</sup>

Exposure of 2 to 1 atm of  $H_2$  in toluene- $d_8$  resulted in an immediate color change from blue to yellow. The quantitative formation of 3 (Scheme 1) is confirmed by multinuclear NMR spectroscopies including <sup>31</sup>P (138.2 ppm) and <sup>11</sup>B (47.1 ppm). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 3 at 25 °C features a broad hydridic resonance (four H atoms) at -6.51 ppm (Figure 2). This



**Figure 2.** Top: Four possible isomers of **3**. Bottom: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of **3** in toluene- $d_8$  under 1 atm H<sub>2</sub> at 25 °C, 1 atm H<sub>2</sub> at -90 °C, and 1 atm HD at -90 °C. The resonances are assigned to the H atoms highlighted in red.

observation led us to consider four possible products: boryl cobalt *trans*-dihydride dihydrogen ( $\mathbf{A}$ ), boryl cobalt *cis*-dihydride dihydrogen ( $\mathbf{B}$ ), dihydridoborato cobalt dihydrogen ( $\mathbf{C}$ ), and dihydridoborato cobalt dihydride ( $\mathbf{D}$ ).

We favor product **D** for the following reasons. Upon cooling, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR signal at -6.51 ppm gradually broadens and decoalesces to two peaks with a ratio of 1:1. The sharper peak observed as a triplet at -11.53 ppm ( ${}^{2}J_{P-H} = 31$  Hz; see SI for a better resolved spectrum) can be assigned to a terminal hydride resonance, whereas the broader peak at -4.09 ppm is consistent with bridging hydrides.<sup>12</sup> Hydride complexes with agostic interactions can exhibit large isotopic perturbations.<sup>13</sup> Reaction of 2 with 1 atm of HD (or 1 atm of  $H_2/D_2 = 1:1$ ) generated two additional resonances at -4.41 and -4.88 ppm with no H–D coupling. The two peaks can be attributed to the existence of two isomers of  $B(\mu_2-H)(\mu_2-D)Co$  as a result of unsymmetrical bridging hydrides, a point also reflected by the solid-state and DFT-optimized structures (vide infra).<sup>14</sup> Accordingly, the IR spectrum of 3 under H<sub>2</sub> gas displayed a broad band at 1836 cm<sup>-1</sup>, consistent with that observed for the B-H stretching frequencies in dihydridoborate complexes.<sup>12a</sup>

Single crystals of **3** suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by slowly concentrating a pentane solution of **3** under

 $H_2$  (Figure 1). An important structural feature pertains to the position of Co, which deviates from the mean plane of the boron-containing five-membered ring by 0.56 Å and suggests the existence of unsymmetrical bridging hydrides.<sup>15</sup> This argument is reinforced by the DFT-optimized structure of 3, whose non-hydrogen framework is in excellent agreement with the solid-state structure (see SI), including an out-of-plane distance of 0.63 Å for Co. Geometry optimizations performed on the other isomers indicate that **A** and **B** are 1.51 and 6.51 kcal/mol, respectively, uphill in enthalpy from **D** (a global minimum could not be found for isomer C; see SI). Taken together with the NMR and IR spectroscopic data, these results confirm the identity of **3** as an  $\eta^2:\eta^2$ -dihydridoboratocobalt dihydride complex (**D**).

The bonding in dihydridoborate complexes can be illustrated on the basis of three different Lewis representations (Figure 3).<sup>16</sup> Our studies indicate that 3 bears a significant contribution



Figure 3. Three different valence bond descriptions for dihydridoborate complexes.

from form II. Indeed, the Co–B/B–H bond distances in 3 (Co–B(exp), 1.908(av.) Å; B–H(calc), 1.41, 1.58 Å) are significantly shorter/longer, respectively, than those observed for Co-( $\mu_2$ -H)<sub>2</sub>-BH<sub>2</sub> complexes (form I: Co–B, 2.136–2.211 Å; B–( $\mu_2$ -H), 1.27–1.38 Å).<sup>17</sup> Further, the Co–B distance in 3 is slightly shorter than for the Co–B distance in 2, where no bridging hydrides are present. Perhaps conformational constraints of the phosphine ligand, combined with the difference between the terminal ligands in the two complexes, accounts for the small and unexpected direction of the difference.

While subjecting a solution of **3** to a few freeze–pump–thaw cycles did not induce a noticeable change in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **3**, exposure to 1 atm N<sub>2</sub> cleanly and rapidly regenerated **2**. The conversion from **3** to **2** can be conveniently monitored by NMR and IR spectroscopies (see SI). Reversible addition of 2 equiv of H<sub>2</sub> to a single Co site appears to be unique, highlighting the value of the Co–boryl subunit for H<sub>2</sub> activation chemistry. Heinekey recently obtained NMR/ theoretical evidence for 2 equiv of H<sub>2</sub> ta 190 K (POCOP) Co fragment under 75 psi H<sub>2</sub> at 190 K (POCOP = bisphosphino-phenyl).<sup>18</sup> The product, (POCOP)Co(H)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>), decomposed above 220 K.

The ability of **2** to serve as an olefin hydrogenation catalyst was tested as follows. Introducing 1 atm H<sub>2</sub> by one freeze– pump–thaw cycle to a  $C_6D_6$  solution of 1-octene (or styrene) and **2** (5% mol) led to an immediate color change from blue to yellow. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the resulting solution indicated the formation of **3** and octane (or ethylbenzene). The catalysis can be achieved with 2% mol loading of **2** over a period of 3 min, corresponding to a turnover frequency (TOF) of 1000/h (Scheme 2). The quantitative formation of octane

Scheme 2. Catalytic Olefin Hydrogenation

$$R = \frac{2\% \text{ mol } 2}{C_6 D_6, \text{ RT}, 3 \text{ min}} \xrightarrow{H} R (\text{R = phenyl, hexyl})$$

(97%) and ethylbenzene (100%) was established by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopies as well as GC analyses (see SI). These reactions proceeded similarly in the presence of elemental Hg.

Homogenous olefin hydrogenation catalysts are rare for Co.<sup>19</sup> Hanson recently showed the hydrogenation of styrene by  $(^{Cy}PNP)CoR (R = CH_2SiMe_3)^{19d}$  under similar conditions (TOF = 25/h, Table 1). By comparison, complex 2 operates at

#### Table 1. Hydrogenation of Styrene with H<sub>2</sub>

catalyst	TOF $(h^{-1})$	catalyst	TOF $(h^{-1})$
2	1000 <sup>b</sup>	( <sup>Mes</sup> DPB <sup>Ph</sup> )Ni	$20^d$
( <sup>Cy</sup> PNP)CoR	25 <sup>b</sup>	$(^{iPr}TPB)Fe(N_2)$	0.27 <sup>c</sup>
$(^{iPr}PDI)Fe(N_2)_2$	1344 <sup>a</sup>	(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> RhCl	100 <sup>b</sup>

Conditions: RT, 1 atm  $H_2$ , organic solvents. Catalyst loading: <sup>*a*</sup>0.3% mol; <sup>*b*</sup>2% mol; <sup>*c*</sup>3.3% mol; <sup>*d*</sup>5% mol. PNP = bis-phosphino-amido; PDI = pyridyl-diimine; DPB = bis-phosphino-borane; TPB = tris-phosphino-borane.

a higher rate. Unlike Hanson's systems,<sup>19d,e</sup> however, **2** cannot catalyze the hydrogenation of internal olefins such as *cis*-cyclooctene, presumably due to the presence of bulkier phosphorus substituents in **2**. For styrene hydrogenation (Table 1), **2** is also faster than  $(PPh_3)_3RhCl$  (see SI),  $(^{Mes}DPB^{Ph})Ni$ ,<sup>2b</sup> ( $^{iPr}TPB$ )Fe(N<sub>2</sub>),<sup>2c</sup> and is similar to ( $^{iPr}PDI$ )-Fe(N<sub>2</sub>),<sup>20</sup> which features a redox active ligand.

A mine-boranes have attracted much attention as hydrogen storage/transfer materials.<sup>21</sup> However, homogeneous dehydrocoupling reactions of amine-boranes catalyzed by earthabundant first-row metals are limited (Ti,<sup>22</sup> Fe,<sup>23</sup> and Ni<sup>24</sup>). Reaction of **2** with HMe<sub>2</sub>N-BH<sub>3</sub> in a 1:2 stoichiometry resulted in the quantitative formation of a hydridoborane cobalt tetrahydridoborate complex (**4**) (Scheme 3). Complex **4** is

## Scheme 3. Synthesis of 4 and Catalytic Amine–Borane Dehydrogenation



characterized by a <sup>31</sup>P resonance at 103.3 ppm as well as two <sup>11</sup>B resonances at 40.4 and 5.7 ppm in a 1:1 ratio. The presence of a bridging hydride and an  $\eta^2$ -BH<sub>4</sub> ligand is established by <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>1</sup>H{<sup>11</sup>B}, and <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H NOESY NMR spectra (see SI). Consequently, the IR spectrum of 4 exhibits bands corresponding to B-H stretches (2422, 2342, 1959, 1901, and 1786 cm<sup>-1</sup>). The structure of 4 was confirmed by X-ray crystallography (Figure 4) and DFT calculations, revealing short Co-B1 (exp 1.9077(15) Å vs calc 1.921 Å) and long Co-B2 contacts (exp 2.1308(17) Å vs calc 2.130 Å).

Encouraged by these results and the equilibrium that exists between **2** and **4** in the presence of excess Et<sub>3</sub>N (see SI), HMe<sub>2</sub>N–BH<sub>3</sub> was allowed to stir in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> with 2% mol of **2** or **4** under N<sub>2</sub> (Scheme 3). As evidenced by the <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectra (see SI), HMe<sub>2</sub>N–BH<sub>3</sub> was initially dehydrogenated to form a linear product (HMe<sub>2</sub>N–BH<sub>2</sub>–Me<sub>2</sub>N–BH<sub>3</sub>), then fully converted into (Me<sub>2</sub>N–BH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in 6 h (TOF  $\approx$  8/h, Figure 4).<sup>25</sup> The reaction was again not inhibited by Hg. Complex **2** 



Figure 4. Left: Solid-state structure of 4. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms, except for the boronbound hydrides, are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å): Co-B1 1.9077(15), Co-B2 2.1308(17). Right: Plot of molar ratio against reaction time for HMe<sub>2</sub>N-BH<sub>3</sub> dehydrogenation.

constitutes a rare example of a homogeneous Co catalyst for amine–borane dehydrogenation.<sup>26</sup> Based on the observation that **2** can catalyze both olefin hydrogenation and amine–borane dehydrogenation, we examined the possibility of a transfer hydrogenation reaction.<sup>21b</sup> To this end, equal amounts of styrene and HMe<sub>2</sub>N–BH<sub>3</sub> were stirred in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> at 25 °C with 2 mol% of **2** under N<sub>2</sub>. After 24 h, styrene was hydrogenation of HMe<sub>2</sub>N–BH<sub>3</sub> to  $(Me_2N-BH_2)_2$  (see SI). Control experiments carried out in the absence of **2** showed no reactivity.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated reversible double  $H_2$  addition across a cobalt-boryl linkage. An unusual facet of the present system originates from the ability of the cobalt-boryl subunit to take up and release hydrogen atoms by accommodating cobalt-boryl (2), -dihydridoborate (3), and -hydridoborane (4) configurations. In turn, this enables the cobalt-boryl subunit to promote multielectron catalysis. This has been demonstrated in the present study via (1) efficient catalytic olefin hydrogenation and (2) amine-borane dehydrogenation/transfer hydrogenation catalysis.

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **S** Supporting Information

Experimental procedures, characterizations, crystallographic analyses, and computational data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

### AUTHOR INFORMATION

- **Corresponding Author**
- jpeters@caltech.edu

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This material is based upon work performed by the Joint Center for Artificial Photosynthesis, a DOE Energy Innovation Hub, supported through the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Award No. DE-SC0004993.

#### REFERENCES

(1) (a) Braunschweig, H.; Colling, M. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2001, 223, 1–51. (b) Braunschweig, H.; Dewhurst, R. D.; Schneider, A. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 3924–3957.

(2) (a) Tsoureas, N.; Kuo, Y.-Y.; Haddow, M. F.; Owen, G. R. *Chem. Commun.* 2011, 47, 484–486. (b) Harman, W. H.; Peters, J. C. *J. Am.* 

Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 5080-5082. (c) Fong, H.; Moret, M.-E.; Lee, Y.; Peters, J. C. Organometallics 2013, 32, 3053-3062.

(3) Bonanno, J. B.; Henry, T. P.; Wolczanski, P. T.; Pierpont, A. W.; Cundari, T. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, *46*, 1222–1232.

(4) Alcaraz, G.; Helmstedt, U.; Clot, E.; Vendier, L.; Sabo-Etienne, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 12878–12879.

(5) (a) Miyaura, N.; Suzuki, A. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2457–2483.
(b) Cho, J.-Y.; Tse, M. K.; Holmes, D.; Maleczka, R. E.; Smith, M. R. Science 2002, 295, 305–308. (c) Dang, L.; Lin, Z.; Marder, T. B. Chem. Commun. 2009, 0, 3987–3995.

(6) Irvine, G. J.; Lesley, M. J. G.; Marder, T. B.; Norman, N. C.; Rice, C. R.; Robins, E. G.; Roper, W. R.; Whittell, G. R.; Wright, L. J. *Chem. Rev.* **1998**, *98*, 2685–2722.

(7) (a) Nöth, H.; Schmid, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1966, 5, 109–119.
(b) Hartwig, J. F.; Huber, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 4908–4909.

(c) Waltz, K. M.; He, X.; Muhoro, C.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, 117, 11357–11358.

(8) Hartwig, J. F. Organotransition Metal Chemistry: From Bonding to Catalysis; University Science Books: Sausalito, CA, 2010.

(9) (a) Segawa, Y.; Yamashita, M.; Nozaki, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 9201–9203. (b) Hasegawa, M.; Segawa, Y.; Yamashita, M.; Nozaki, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 6956–6960.

(10) Fout, A. R.; Basuli, F.; Fan, H.; Tomaszewski, J.; Huffman, J. C.; Baik, M.-H.; Mindiola, D. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 3291–3295.

(11) Adams, C. J.; Baber, R. A.; Batsanov, A. S.; Bramham, G.; Charmant, J. P. H.; Haddow, M. F.; Howard, J. A. K.; Lam, W. H.; Lin, Z.; Marder, T. B.; Norman, N. C.; Orpen, A. G. *Dalton Trans.* **2006**, 1370–1373.

(12) (a) Marks, T. J.; Kolb, J. R. Chem. Rev. 1977, 77, 263–293.
(b) Baker, M. V.; Field, L. D. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 996–997. (c) Alcaraz, G.; Sabo-Etienne, S. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2008, 252, 2395–2409.

(13) (a) Calvert, R. B.; Shapley, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 7726–7727. (b) Hartwig, J. F.; De Gala, S. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 3661–3662.

(14) These studies also indicate that the hydride ligands are rapidly exchanging with free  $H_2$ . The peak corresponding to free  $H_2$  is only observed below -50 °C. Higher pressure VT-NMR experiments (4 atm  $H_2$  or HD) produced similar results (see SI).

(15) Complex 3 crystallizes in  $P2_12_12_1$  space group with four independent molecules in an asymmetric unit (see SI). Although the exact positions of the hydrogen atoms cannot be reliably located on the difference map, residual positive electron density around Co can be observed.

(16) Pandey, K. K. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 5092-5096.

(17) (a) Dapporto, P.; Midollini, S.; Orlandini, A.; Sacconi, L. Cryst. Struct. Commun. 1976, 5, 163–168. (b) Dapporto, P.; Midollini, S.; Orlandini, A.; Sacconi, L. Inorg. Chem. 1976, 15, 2768–2774. (c) Nakajima, M.; Saito, T.; Kobayashi, A.; Sasaki, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1977, 385–388. (d) Corey, E. J.; Cooper, N. J.; Canning, W. M.; Lipscomb, W. N.; Koetzle, T. F. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 192–199.

(18) Hebden, T. J.; St. John, A. J.; Gusev, D. G.; Kaminsky, W.; Goldberg, K. I.; Heinekey, D. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1873–1876.

(19) (a) Knijnenburg, Q.; Horton, A. D.; Heijden, H. v. d.; Kooistra, T. M.; Hetterscheid, D. G. H.; Smits, J. M. M.; Bruin, B. d.; Budzelaar, P. H. M.; Gal, A. W. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2005, 232, 151–159.
(b) Ingleson, M.; Fan, H.; Pink, M.; Tomaszewski, J.; Caulton, K. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 1804–1805. (c) Monfette, S.; Turner, Z. R.; Semproni, S. P.; Chirik, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 4561–4564.
(d) Zhang, G.; Scott, B. L.; Hanson, S. K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12102–12106. (e) Zhang, G.; Vasudevan, K. V.; Scott, B. L.; Hanson, S. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 8668–8681. (f) Yu, R. P.; Darmon, J. M.; Milsmann, C.; Margulieux, G. W.; Stieber, S. C. E.; DeBeer, S.; Chirik, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 13168–13184.
(20) Bart, S. C.; Lobkovsky, E.; Chirik, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004,

126, 13794-13807.

(21) (a) Alcaraz, G.; Sabo-Etienne, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 7170–7179. (b) Staubitz, A.; Robertson, A. P. M.; Manners, I. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 4079–4124.

(22) (a) Clark, T. J.; Russell, C. A.; Manners, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9582–9583. (b) Pun, D.; Lobkovsky, E.; Chirik, P. J. Chem. Commun. 2007, 3297–3299. (c) Sloan, M. E.; Staubitz, A.; Clark, T. J.; Russell, C. A.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Manners, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 3831–3841. (d) Beweries, T.; Hansen, S.; Kessler, M.; Klahn, M.; Rosenthal, U. Dalton Trans. 2011, 40, 7689–7692. (e) Helten, H.; Dutta, B.; Vance, J. R.; Sloan, M. E.; Haddow, M. F.; Sproules, S.; Collison, D.; Whittell, G. R.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Manners, I. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 437–440.

(23) (a) Vance, J. R.; Robertson, A. P. M.; Lee, K.; Manners, I. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 4099–4103. (b) Baker, R. T.; Gordon, J. C.; Hamilton, C. W.; Henson, N. J.; Lin, P.-H.; Maguire, S.; Murugesu, M.; Scott, B. L.; Smythe, N. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 5598–5609.

(24) (a) Keaton, R. J.; Blacquiere, J. M.; Baker, R. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 1844–1845. (b) Vogt, M.; de Bruin, B.; Berke, H.; Trincado, M.; Grützmacher, H. Chem. Sci. 2011, 2, 723–727.

(25) The assignments of the boron-containing species were made according to the following reference: Douglas, T. M.; Chaplin, A. B.; Weller, A. S.; Yang, X.; Hall, M. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 15440–15456.

(26) A search of the Internet reveals a DOE report in which Co complexes were studied previously in the context of amine-borane dehydrogenation: Goldberg, K. I.; Heinekey, D. M. Solutions for Chemical Hydrogen Storage: Dehydrogenation of B-N Bonds. FY 2008 Progress Report; DOE Hydrogen Program: Washington, DC, 2008.